Prevalence and determinants of nonadherence with immunosuppressive regimen in adult renal transplant recipients



Kris Denhaerynck, MSN¹, Petra Schäfer-Keller, BNS^{1,2}, Jürg Steiger, MD², Andreas Bock, MD³, Sabina De Geest, PhD, RN¹



1.Conclusion

Electronic measurement of nonadherence





Period prevalences

Taking adherence: (# taken doses / # prescribed doses) * 100 Dosing adherence: (# correctly dosed days / # days monitored) * 100 Timing adherence: (# correct interdose intervals* / # openings) * 100 correct interdose interval = within +/- 25% of prescribed interval Drug holidays: no medication intake for >48h (1x/d); >24h (2x/d)

Measured nonadherence determinants

Health care

system & HC team:

- Center - Regularity follow-up

Condition related:

- Depressive symptoms

- Substance use (alcohol, smoking)

Patient related:

- Illness representations
- Coping styles
- Self-efficacy
- Health behaviors (former nonadherence, vaccination & alternative med.)
- Busyness & routine in life style

Data analysis

- Socio-economic:
- Age & gender
- Nationality
- Living alone/together
- Received social support
- Employment/education
- Perception financial sit.

Therapy related:

- Time on dialysis / with Tx
- Dialysis mode / Pre-emptive Tx
- # of transplants received
- Graft type
 - Complexity medication regimen
 - Symptom occurrence & -distress
 - Therapy changes
 - Use of medication aids

1. Excluding the first 35 days because an intervention effect of the electronic monitoring on adherence was observed

- 2. Screening of all determinants by simple random intercept logistic regression analyses based on a binary longitudinal sequence representing daily dosing adherence (=0) or nonadherence (=1).
- 3. Adapting p-values for multiple-testing
- 4. Putting all significant variables in a multiple model

4. Results

413

patients

356 included

(86.2%)

65 without EM

(18.3%)

57 refusals

(13.8%)

asked

Period prevalences

- ➤Taking adherence >Dosing adherence
 - 100% (IQR 1.3;range: 47-110%) 100% (IQR 3.6; range: 23-100%)
- ≻Timing adherence
- >Drug holidays
- 98% (IQR 6.8;range: 18-100%) 0 (IQR 1.1;range: 0-29)
- **Determinant analyses**

The analysis detected more nonadherence in:

- > in patients showing a *low self-efficacy* with medication taking
- > in patients having a weak belief that the drugs are needed to
- keep the kidney > in patients experiencing spots in the face
- > in patients not using a pill-organizer
- > in patients having higher self-reported nonadherence
- > when later in the week (nonadherence increased gradually from Monday until Sunday)

kidney transplant patients (KTx), approaching 100% for the median patient (n=249; largest EM sample ever in KTx)

Prevalence:

still, an important subsample of patients showed severe nonadherence

 Overall electronically monitored (EM) nonadherence with immunosuppressive medication was low in our sample

Determinants:

We detected several patient and therapy related variables associated with nonadherence, allowing identification of patients at risk and development of adherence enhancing interventions.

2.Aim of the study

- Few studies exist using electronic monitoring to measure nonadherence with immunosuppressive medication in renal transplant patients
 - \rightarrow A first aim of the study was to determine period prevalence of nonadherence with the immunosuppressive regimen
- · Few studies comprehensively investigated determinants / correlates of nonadherence with immunosuppressive medication in renal transplant patients (patient, therapy, condition, health care system related and socioeconomic factors)

→ A second aim of the study was to screen for determinants / correlates of nonadherence with immunosuppressive drugs

3. Methods

Design: Prospective cohort study



Sample:

Inclusion criteria:

- → followed-up in Basel or Aarau (Switzerland)
- \rightarrow over 18 years and > 1 year post KTx
- → on immunosuppressive medication
- → independent medication management
- → German/French speaking



- → mentally clear
- → literate

291 with EM* (81.7%) Sample profile:

18 drop-outs n=273 Valid measurement: n=249 (58% of eligible pats.) (6.0%) (94.0%) * EM = electronic monitoring